## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

CHAMBERS OF
BETH P. GESNER
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

132 007 10 P 4: 39

101 W. LOMBARD STREET BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201 (410) 962-4288

October 10, 2002

Michael McGowan, Esquire McGowan, Cecil & Smathers LLC 414 Main Street Laurel, Maryland 20707

Roy W. Anderson, Jr., Esquire Law Offices of Jonathan P. Stebenne 100 S. Charles Street, Suite 1101 Baltimore, Maryland 21201-2446

> Subject: Melvin Roy Baker v. Ronald Dale Murphy Civil No. AMD-02-2811

## Dear Counsel:

Please be advised that a settlement conference in the above-captioned case has been scheduled for **Thursday**, **November 21**, **2002** at **9:15** a.m. to be held in my chambers (Room 7C, United States Courthouse, Baltimore, Maryland). It is essential that the parties, or in the case of a corporation or partnership, an officer or other representative with complete authority to enter into a binding settlement, be present in person. Attendance by the attorney for a party is <u>not</u> sufficient. See Local Rule 607.3. **Please also be advised that the conference may take the entire day.** 

No later than **Thursday**, **November 7**, **2002**, I would like to receive from each party a short letter candidly setting forth the following:

- 1. Facts you believe you can prove at trial;
- 2. The major weaknesses in each side's case, both factual and legal;
- 3. An evaluation of the maximum and minimum damage awards you believe likely;
- 4. The history of any settlement negotiations to date; and
- 5. Estimate of attorney's fees and costs of litigation through trial.

The letters may be submitted <u>ex parte</u> and will be solely for my use in preparing for the settlement conference. I also will review the pleadings in the court file. Additionally, if you want me to review any case authorities that you believe are critical to your evaluation of the case, please

U.S. District Court (Rev. 9/2001)

diology

Letter to Counsel - Civil No. AMD-02-2811 Page Two October 10, 2002

identify. If you want me to review any exhibits or deposition excerpts, please attach a copy to your letter.

The settlement conference process will be confidential and disclosure of confidential dispute resolution communications is prohibited. See 28 U.S.C. § 652(d); Local Rule 607.4.

Notwithstanding the informal nature of this letter, it is an Order of the Court and the Clerk is directed to docket it as such.

Very truly yours,

Beth P. Gesner

United States Magistrate Judge

cc: Judge Davis Court file

Please note that the American Bar Association Standing Committee on Ethics and Professional Responsibility has issued a Formal Opinion (No. 93-370) that precludes a lawyer, ABSENT INFORMED CLIENT CONSENT, from revealing to a judge the limits of the lawyer's settlement authority or the lawyer's advice to the client regarding settlement. The opinion does not preclude a judge, in seeking to facilitate a settlement, from inquiring into those matters. Therefore, please discuss these items with your client before appearing for the settlement conference.